

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,670	06/05/2006	Sylvain Bacquet	128237	4573
25944 7590 01/23/2009 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 320850			EXAMINER	
			DINH, TRINH VO	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2821	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/581.670 BACQUET ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Trinh Vo Dinh 2821 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 April 2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Application/Control Number: 10/581,670 Page 2

Art Unit: 2821

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Claim Objections

1. Claims 8-11 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claims 8-11, "Radiofrequency" should be changed to --A radiofrequency--.

Appropriate correction is required.

 Claim 8 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim 1. Applicant is required to cancel

the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the

claim(s) in independent form.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

 Claims 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention.

In claim 8, line 2, it is unclear what "it" stands for, "the rotating field antenna" or "the radiofrequency identification system". The deficiency is found in claim 9 line 1 would require the same correction/clarification

Claims 10-11 are rejected because they depends on rejected based claim 8.

Application/Control Number: 10/581,670

Art Unit: 2821

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:


A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent and prother filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Diaz et al (US 6,512,494).

Respecting claim 1, Diaz discloses, in Fig. 17 or the below drawing, a central loop (a loop 804 in layer 902) and coplanar eccentric loops (four loops 804 in layer 904), the antenna comprises four adjacent coplanar eccentric loops, the centres of gravity of the eccentric loops being arranged substantially on the periphery of the central loop. Claim 1 recites the central loop (1) creating "a magnetic field essentially perpendicular to the antenna", and "supplied in such a way as to create a rotating field predominantly in a plane parallel to the plane of the antenna". Diaz discloses the same structural configuration as the claimed invention. Therefore, although not explicitly stated in Diaz, it is inherently that the central loop and four coplanar accentric loop of Diaz would create a magnetic field essentially perpendicular to the antenna and create a rotating field predominantly in a plane parallel to the plane of the antenna as claimed.

Application/Control Number: 10/581,670

Art Unit: 2821



Respecting claim 2-3, Diaz discloses the same structural configuration as the claimed invention. Therefore, although not explicitly stated in Diaz, eccentric loops (804 in layer 904) are associated in pairs of non-adjacent loops inherently generate electromagnetic fields of opposite phases in said pair, and the two eccentric loops of a pair are connected so that a same current is inherently flowing through them in opposite trigonometric directions.

Respecting claims 4-7, Diaz discloses the four eccentric loops are arranged symmetrically with respect to the centre of the central loop, and central loop and the eccentric loops (2) are substantially rectangular or triangular, an apex of each triangle being located in the central zone of the antenna (col. 9 lines 45-50).

#### Allowable Subject Matter

- Claims 8-11 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35
   U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:
  The cited art of record fails to teach radiofrequency identification system comprising a rotating field antenna having a central loop and four adjacent coplanar eccentric loops wherein

Art Unit: 2821

the centres of gravity of the eccentric loops being arranged substantially on the periphery of the

central loop, and radiofrequency generator comprising first and second outputs respectively

supplying first and second excitation signals alternately respectively to the central loop and to the

eccentric loops as defined in claim 8.

Inquiry

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Trinh Vo Dinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-1821. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30AM to 6:00PM. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Douglas Owens, can be reached on (571) 272-1662. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR  $\,$ 

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art unit 2821 January 19, 2009

/Trinh Vo Dinh/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2821

Application/Control Number: 10/581,670

Page 6

Art Unit: 2821